



GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI
DELHI SUBORDINATE SERVICES SELECTION BOARD
FC-18, INSTITUTIONAL AREA, KARKARDOOMA, DELHI-110092

Dated:- 13/4/18

RESULT NOTICE NO. 627
ASSISTANT TEACHER PRIMARY (POST CODE 71/09)
DTE. OF EDUCATION, GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

1. On the basis of the requisition received from Directorate of Education, Govt. of NCT of Delhi, the DSSSB had invited applications vide its advt. No.04/2009 in the month of December, 2009 from the candidates for the post of Assistant Teacher under post code 71/09 in Dte. of Education, Govt. of NCT of Delhi. The closing date for receipt of applications for both the posts was 15.01.2010.
2. The details of the posts as advertised under post code 71/09 were as under :-

Number of vacancies : 520 (UR-186, OBC-148, SC-36, ST-150 including PH(OH-OA/OL//OAL/BL)-09, PH(VH-B/LV)-28, **EXSM-234**)
3. In the intervening period the User Deptt. had re-classified the post of Asstt. Teacher/Teacher (Primary) having Grade Pay of Rs. 4200/- as Group 'B' and also amended the Recruitment Rules.
4. As such, the Board returned the requisition for 520 vacancies of Assistant Teacher in Dte. of Education with the directions of the Ld. CAT , for advertising them afresh.
5. Later, the Dte. of Education has modified the Recruitment Rules for the post of Assistant Teacher vide their notification dated 30/11/2010 and they sent their fresh requisition for the post of Assistant Teacher in Dte of Education vide their requisition No. R.No. F/DE/4/6/263/E-IV/2006/413 dated 30/11/2010.
6. The details of new requisition of Dte. of Education under post code 71/09 is as under :-

Number of vacancies: 940(UR-342, OBC-343, SC-89, ST-166 including OH-15, VH(LV)-34)
Pay Scale: Rs. 9300-34800 + GP 4200/-
Group: 'B' Probation Period: 02 years.
7. The advertisement for post code 71/09 was published vide advertisement No. 004/09 in which there was some vacancies earmarked for EXSM category. Later, due to implementation of 6th CPC, the post was upgraded by Dte. of Education from Group C to Group 'B', in compliance of court order. Therefore, the user deptt, sent revised RRs and accordingly, the DSSSB published the Corrigendum dated 16/09/2011 intimating the


candidates revised RRs and revised number of vacancies, in which the reservations for EXSM were removed from both the post codes.

8. The written exam of Post code 71/09 were held on 25/08/2013. The result of post code has been declared according to the revised RRs and number of vacancies.
9. In the revised vacancies, there is no vacancies reserved for EXSM, therefore, the result of post code 71/09 was declared accordingly and no post have been filled by giving to reservation to EXSM Category.
10. Some candidates filed an application in Hon'ble CAT vide OA No 4132/2011 titled Bipin Singh & Ors. V/s GNCTD & Ors. Hon'ble CAT vide order dated 23/09/2016 in OA NO. 4132/2011 in the matter of Bipin Singh & Ors. V/s GNCTD & Ors. the Hon'ble CAT disposed of the case with the following direction :-

"We are persuaded by the argument of the learned counsel for the applicants that since advertisement was published in the years, 2009, the Recruitment Rules, as applicable on that date, should govern the recruitment. Even otherwise, the classification into Groups 'B' 'C' and 'D' is only based on pay-scales and, therefore, it should not take-away the basic purpose of reservation for ex-servicemen. The Assistant Teacher before the classification as Group 'C' and Assistant Teacher after classification as Group 'B' are not different creatures just because the pay-scale was revised after implementation of the 6th pay Commission. The said post was re-classified in PB-2 with Grade pay of Rs. 4200/- and have got classified as Group 'B'. In our considered view, the respondents are only trying to take shelter behind certain technicalities.

In view of the above, OA is allowed with a direction to the respondents to fill up the vacancies of 2009 advertisement in accordance with the Rules prevalent at that time. The said advertisement had stipulated reservation for ex-servicemen and, in case the applicants are otherwise qualified and eligible, they should be issued appointment letter giving consequential benefits in pay fixation and seniority with respect to their immediate junior mentioned in the merit list. However, pay and allowances are payable to them from the date of their actual joining. A time frame of three months is fixed from receipt of a certified copy of this order for compliance. No costs."

11. Subsequently the above said order of Hon'ble CAT could not be complied as there was no communication from Dte. of Education (User Department) as regards number of vacancies reserved for EXSM and the petitioners/applicants filed Contempt Petition No. 272/2017 in OA No. 4132/2011 in Hon'ble CAT making DSSSB as a party/respondent but did not implead Dte. of Education and MCD as proper and necessary parties in spite of the fact that they were parties/respondents in the O.A. No 4132/2011 titled Bipin Singh & Ors Vs GNCTD & Ors.
12. In the meanwhile Dte of Education filed writ petition i.e. WP(C) No. 10343/2017 titled Dte. of Education Vs Bipin Singh and Ors. In the Contempt Petition no. 272/2017 titled




Bipin Singh & Ors Vs GNCTD & Ors. Hon'ble CAT was apprised of the writ petition filed by Dte. of Education on the subject pending in the Hon'ble High Court, however in the absence of any stay from the Hon'ble High Court, it was directed by Hon'ble CAT to comply with the orders dated 23.09.2016 in O.A. No 4132/2011.

13. Now In compliance of order of Hon'ble CAT in OA No. 4132/2011 vide order dated 23.09.2016 in the matter of Bipin Singh & Ors V/s GNCTD & Ors and in continuation to the previous result notices vide result notice no. 372 dated 18.05.2015, result notice no. 423 dated 21.08.2015 and result notice no. 612 dated 14.03.2018, the following candidates are provisionally selected in UREXSM category:-

S no	Roll no	catomr	Name	DOB	Marks
1	07126868	GEN/EXS	BIPIN SINGH	15/12/1969	86.50
2	07126870	GEN/EXS	NARESH KUMAR SHARMA	01/03/1964	84.50
3	07126869	GEN/EXS	PAWAN KUMAR SHARMA	08/03/1976	71.00

14. The selection of the above 03 candidates (UREXSM-03) shall further be subject to fulfillment of all the eligibility conditions such as age, qualification, EXSM certificate, number of posts in the category etc, prescribed by the statutory RRs and terms and conditions of advertisement as indicated in the advertisement inviting applications and subject to thorough verification of their identity with reference to photograph, signature/handwriting etc. on the application form, admission certificate etc. The candidature of the candidates is liable to be cancelled by the User Department also, in case the candidate is found not to be fulfilling the eligibility conditions or due to any other genuine reason. The Competent Authority of the Department concerned shall not issue the appointment letter to the candidate before satisfying themselves of their eligibility as laid down in the recruitment rules and on verification of the correctness of the information / documents furnished in the application forms. Further, the recognition of the Universities/Institutions from which the degree/ diploma /certificates have been submitted by the candidates should also be verified from the issuing Authorities. Mere inclusion of name in the result notice does not confer any right upon the candidate over the post.

- 15. THE PROVISIONAL SELECTION AND DECLARATION OF THIS RESULT IN RESPECT OF CANDIDATES MENTIONED IN PARA 13 ABOVE SHALL BE SUBJECTED TO OUTCOME OF WPC NO. 10343/2017 PENDING/SUBJUDICE IN HON'BLE HIGH COURT TITLED DTE. OF EDUCATION VS BIPIN SINGH AND ORS FILED BY DTE. OF EDUCATION. THE USER DEPARTMENT SHOULD ALSO SATISFY THEMSELVES ABOUT FULFILLMENT OF ALL EXISTING PROVISIONS, RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GOVT. OF INDIA & GOVT. OF**



**NCT OF DELHI ON THE SUBJECT BEFORE ISSUING OFFER OF APPOINTMENT
TO THE SAID CANDIDATES.**

16. The following candidate/applicant is rejected for the reason mentioned against his name in remarks column:-

S no	Roll no	catomr	Name	DOB	Marks	Remarks
1	07120216	GEN/EXS	SUKANTA MUKHARJEE	27/11/1971	83.75	Does not fulfill the essential qualification mentioned in sl. No. 3 at column 8 of the RRs notified vide notification dated 30.11.2010 i.e. the candidate has not passed hindi as a subject at secondary level.

17. While every care has been taken in preparing the results, the DSSSB reserves the right to rectify errors and omissions, if any.

18. This issues with the prior approval of the Competent Authority of DSSSB.

No.F.4(390)/DSSSB/CC-I/2014/ 2605-14

Copy to:-

1. PS to Chairperson, DSSSB.
2. PS to Member-II, DSSSB.
3. PS to COE, DSSSB
4. PS to Secretary, DSSSB
5. Dy. Secretary (P&P), DSSSB (in Duplicate).
6. System Analyst with a request to upload the result on the official website of the Board.
7. Asstt. Director, Planning branch.
8. Office Order file.
9. Notice Board, DSSSB
10. Guard File.




DY. SECRETARY (CC-I)

Dated:- 13/4/18


DY. SECRETARY (CC-I)