



GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI
Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board
FC-18, INSTITUTIONAL AREA, KARKARDOOMA, DELHI-110092
Website: www.dsssb.delhigovt.nic.in

No. F. 1(431)/CC-II/DSSSB/2020/235-237

Dated:- 08/02/2021

ORDER

This order shall dispose of the issues raised by Sh. Ankur (Roll No. 12321001691), a candidate for the post of Grade II (DASS), Post Code 81/17 in Services Department, who was one of the applicants in O.A. No. 2095/2020 and M.A. No. 2697/2020 in the matter of Ankur & Others V/s DSSSB & Others, in compliance of the directions of Ld. CAT order dated 17/12/2020.

2. The Ld. CAT while disposing the M.A. No. 2697/2020 has allowed the MA and held that *"the present MA has been filed by the applicants seeking permission to file the OA jointly. For reasons given in the MA and keeping in view that the applicants are stated to be similarly placed and reliefs sought by them are identical, the MA is allowed."* Further, vide order dated 17/12/2020 the OA was dismissed by the Ld. CAT, without going in to the merit of the claims of the applicants, with a direction to the respondents to consider the applicants' aforesaid representation (Annexure A-7) and to dispose of the same by passing a reasoned and speaking order as expeditiously as possible and in any case within four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

3. That the applicant vides his representation at Annexure 7 of the O.A. has prayed as under:-

"Ankur (Roll No. 12321001691):- I, Ankur belongs to UR category. I had written DASS, Grade-II (81/17) exam on 08/11/2019 and the result of the same was announced on 12/10/2020. It is pertinent to mention here that I have been awarded 207.62 marks which are very less than what I had calculated as per official answer key provided by your office.

In view of above-mentioned grievances, I request you to consider re-checking of my marks. Also a copy of my answer booklet may please be provided to me in order that I may cross verify my marks."

4. The main submission/issue raised in OA No. 2095/2020 is that:-

"That on various dates in October, 2020, the applicants have represented to the respondents for examining this discrepancies and issuance of correct marks. Further, the applicants also requested the respondents to inform them as to what has been the fate of 14 wrong questions which were indicated by the respondents themselves in their answer keys. It was also contended that if no normalization had taken place, how the candidates scores could be 207.62, 205.03, 166.78 and especially when the marking scheme of the exam says that a full mark shall be awarded for a right answer and 0.25 marks shall be deducted for a wrong answer which is far beyond understanding of the applicants herein (Annexure -7)".

5. As per advertisement No. 03/2017 with closing date 21/11/2017, addendum dated 09/07/2019 and corrigendum to addendum dated 20/03/2020, the total vacancies under Post Code 81/17 was advertised as 266 (UR-135, OBC-78, SC-34, ST-19 including EXSM-48, Sports person-11, OH-02, HH-07, VH-07) for the post of Grade-II (DASS) in Services Department.

6. The prescribed cutoff date for determining educational qualification, age, and other eligibility conditions against the post code was closing date for filing application that was 21/11/2017.

7. Board Conducted Tier-I written examination for recruitment in respect of this post from 16/07/2019 to 19/07/2019 and 22/07/2019 to 25/07/2019. The online Tier II examination was held on 08/11/2019. Vide Notice No. 1070 dated 12/10/2020 the marks statements for above Tier II examination were uploaded on the Board's website for information of the candidates. That as per

Combined Merit List (CML) of post code 81/17, the Candidate has been allotted marks on pro-rata basis out of 300 marks. Further, these pro-rata marks were allotted to all candidates of Tier II Examination in lieu of 14 invalid questions (Paper I, 6 invalid questions and in Paper II, 8 invalid questions).

8. That as per example in below table the roll number of a candidate, name of the candidate, number of invalid question in paper I, raw marks obtained by the candidate in paper I, prorated marks allotted in paper I out of 150 marks, no. of invalid questions in paper II, raw marks obtained by the candidate in Paper II, prorated marks allotted to the candidate in Paper II out of 150 marks, total raw marks (Paper I+ Paper II) obtained by the candidates, total prorated marks out of 300 marks allotted to the candidates has been illustrated. Further, in remarks column of the below table it is mentioned that how many marks have been allotted to the candidates in lieu of 14 in-valid questions in Tier II Examination of Grade II (DASS) under post code 81/17, held on 08/11/2019.

Sr. No.	Roll No. (Tier II)	Name of the candidate	Paper I			Paper II			Total Raw (A+C)	Total Prorated Marks out of 300(B+D)	Remarks
			Invalid Question	Raw Marks (A)	Prorated Marks (B) out of 150	Invalid Questions	Raw Marks (C)	Prorated Marks (D) out of 150			
1.	123210 0XXX X	ABC	6	87.25	90.89	8	110.50	116.73	197.75	207.62	9.87 marks have been awarded on pro rata basis in lieu of 14 invalid questions to the candidate ABC.

9. That as per merit list of Post Code 81/17, there was 2 paper, Paper I and Paper II comprising of 150 questions each amounting to total 300 questions in Tier II Examination held on 08/11/2019 in post code 81/17, Grade II (DASS) in Services Department. Further, there were 06 invalid questions in Paper I and 08 invalid questions in Paper II and resultantly the candidate were allotted marks on pro-rata basis in both these papers

10. That it is evident from the facts mentioned in the above table, that candidates were allotted marks out of 300 marks on pro-rated basis as there were 06 invalid questions in Paper I and 08 invalid questions in paper II of Tier II Examination held on 08/11/2019 under post code 81/17, Grade II (DASS) under Services Department.

11. That in response to the contention of the candidates rose in the OA "that what was the fate of 14 wrong questions which were indicated by the respondent themselves in their answer key" it is to inform that candidates have been allotted marks on pro-rata basis in lieu of 14 invalid questions as evident in the table above. It is also to inform that since examination was held in one shift, there was no normalization in Tier II Examination held on 08/11/2019 and therefore Raw Score obtained by the candidates, as mentioned in above table are in fraction of 0.25 and (1 marks awarded for correct answer and 0.25 marks deducted for each incorrect answer) candidates score has been given as 207.62, 205.03, 166.78 only due to the reason that they have been awarded marks on pro rata basis in lieu of 14 invalid questions.

12. Further, the candidate is also free to obtain PDF copy of his own response sheet under provisions of RTI Act after declaration of result.

13. That the merit list of candidate has once again been viewed in the Board as requested by the candidate vide his representation and the marks released to above mentioned candidate in his OARS login is same as awarded in the Merit List, there is no issue/technical error in uploading marks by the Board.

14. That the draft answer key of Tier II objective examination was issued vide Notice dated 18/11/2019 by the Board and candidates who appeared in Tier II Examination for the said post code

were directed that they can view the draft answer keys and file objections, if any, with respect to these draft answer keys by going to the link given at <https://dsssb.delhigovt.nic.in>. The link was available from 19/11/2019 to 22/11/2019.

15. That proper opportunity has been provided to the candidate to raise/file his objections vide Notice dated 18/11/2019.

16. That vide Notice dated 12/10/2020 final answer keys of Grade II (DASS), Services Department under post code 81/17 was released by the Board with directions to the candidates that they can view the final answer keys on <https://dsssb.delhigovt.nic.in>. The link was available from 15/10/2020 to 18/10/2020. The answer keys were final and were to be remained unchanged for the said post code. It was also conveyed to the candidates that no further correspondence shall be entertained with respect to these final answer keys.

17. That the Ld. CAT has dismissed an O.A. No. 2988/2019 vide its judgment dated 9/10/2019 wherein it has been mentioned that the entire process of receiving applications, tabulation of marks and selection of candidates, is through online system and human intervention is virtually minimum.

18. That as explained above it is evident that the right of the candidate to get fair marking has not been infringed in any manner as the entire process of examination was online and as the evaluation was done without human intervention through the computer software and candidates were given proper opportunity by the Board to raise their objection on draft answer keys for which the link was activated by the Board from 19/11/2019 to 22/11/2019.

19. This issues with the approval of Competent Authority and in compliance of the directions of Ld. CAT.

20. Let this order be served upon Ankur, S/o Sh. Vinod Kumar, having roll no. 12321001691 in post code 81/17, Grade-II (DASS) in Services Department, R/o, H. No. 119, KHA Jhande Wali Gali, Village-Baksar, Distt.-Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh-245207 one of the applicants of O.A. No. 2095/2020 and M.A. No. 2697/2020 in the matter of Ankur & Others V/s DSSSB & Others, in compliance of the directions of Ld. CAT order dated 17/12/2020 on the address which is mentioned by the candidate in the O.A.


Dy. Secretary, DSSSB

Sh. Ankur,
S/o Sh. Vinod Kumar,
R/o, H. No. 119, KHA
Jhande Wali Gali, Village-Baksar,
Distt.-Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh-245207.