



GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI
Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board
FC-18, INSTITUTIONAL AREA, KARKARDOOMA, DELHI-110092
Website: www.dsssb.delhigovt.nic.in

No.F.168/TGT (SKT)Int. Cell/DSSSB/2019-20/3060-61 Dated: 03/12/2019

ORDER

This order shall dispose of the representation dated 19/11/2018 filed by Ms. Alpana (Roll No.53000163), candidate for the post of TGT (Sanskrit) Female, Post Code-117/12 in compliance of the directions of Hon'ble CAT order dated 01/11/2018 in OA No.3501/2016

2. The Hon'ble CAT while disposing the Original Application No.3501/2016 has directed as under:-

- i) The applicant may make a comprehensive representation to the respondents within 15 days of the receipt of this order;
- ii) To consider the representation of the applicant in the light of Annexure A-8 Government of NCT of Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board Result Notice No.184 TGT (Sanskrit) Male Post Code-116/12 in Directorate of Education dated 13.09.2017 and in the light of Notice No.F.4(100)/2012/P&P/NES/DSSSB/28-33 dated 26.04.2013 and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a period of two months after filing of the representation.

3. It has been perused from records that the applicant has failed to file any representation, but in her contempt petition has stated that -"*... as per examination scheme, if the number of candidates is less than 500 for any particular post, the Board may select the candidates on the basis of Screening Test, Interview and Academic record. Since the number of candidates appeared in the examination is less than 500 and as per new examination scheme, no qualifying marks is required...*".

4. She has further stated that - "...for a similar post code, i.e. TGT (Sanskrit) Male, Post Code-116/12, the DSSSB lowered the cut off marks to the extent of 16%. If the same yardstick is adopted, then the candidates securing 63.25 marks would have been selected....".

5. It is place here on record that as per the Section-B (Examination Scheme for Post Codes 02/12 to 165/12) in advertisement No.02/2012, it has been clearly mentioned that DSSSB will conduct a combined part-I comprising of Preliminary Examination (Objective type) of 01 hour duration and Part-II - Main examination (Descriptive type) of 02 hrs. duration, which comprises of a total testing duration of 03 hours in one go.

6. The applicant, Ms. Alpana applied for the post of TGT (Sanskrit) Female, Post Code-117/12 under General category. As per Notice No.F.4(140)/P&P/13/ DSSSB//20-33 dated 26/04/2013, uploaded on the website of the Board, the minimum qualifying marks has been prescribed for various categories of candidates for one tier/two tier written examinations as under:-

UR	-	40%
OBC	-	35%
SC/ST/PH	-	30%

It appears that the applicant has drawn inference from the following provisions of the notice while presenting her case -

3(i) *Where selection shall be made on the basis of Academic records and interview, there will be no minimum qualifying marks for interview.*

ii) *Where selection shall be made on the basis of screening test followed by interview, the minimum qualifying marks in interview shall be the same as in one tier & two tier written examinations.*

7. Whereas, the Board has processed the results as per the new examination scheme dated 14.02.2013 (copy enclosed) and since there is no interview for teaching post codes and selection was made purely on the basis of merit position of the

H?

candidates, the contention of the applicant is wrong. **Out of the total of 198 marks for which the examination was conducted, a candidate had to score at least 79.25 marks under UR category, i.e. 40% of the total marks for qualifying the examination.** However, **the candidate had scored 68.5 marks which is well below the qualifying marks for consideration to the post of TGT (Sanskrit).**

8. As regards the other contention of the applicant regarding lowering of merit in a similar post code, i.e. TGT (Sanskrit) Male, Post Code-116/12, it is to place here on record that for the said post code due to non availability of candidates, the merit was lowered to the extent that the merit of the candidate under UR category should be 40% and no candidate below 40% was nominated in the said post code.

9. It is to further state that even though vacancies still remain unfilled, in case candidate who did not obtain qualifying marks as per Board's policy notified on 26/04/2013, have not been selected.

10. **Keeping in view the above stated position, the candidature of the applicant, Ms. Alpana was not considered for selection for the post of TGT (Sanskrit) Female, Post Code-117/12 under UR category.**

This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.



Dy. Secretary, DSSSB

Ms. Alpana,
D/o Sh. Mahavir Singh,
R/o 1857, L-Block,
Shastri Nagar, Meerut
Uttar Pradesh - 250004

Copy to:-

1. System Analyst with the request to upload the order on the website of the Board